

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/554,464	11/10/1998	Giok Djien Go	9018	
7590 09/21/2006		EXAMINER		
DrIng. Giok Djien Go			BARFIELD, ANTHONY DERRELL	
Pfahlgrabenstr. 4 Idstein, D-655			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
GERMANY			3636	

DATE MAILED: 09/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/554,464	GO, GIOK DJIEN				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Anthony D. Barfield	3636				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply							
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAIL	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timularly and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Ju	<u>ine 2006</u> .					
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-52 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6) Claim(s) 3,4,6-20,22-45 and 47 is/are rejected.						
•	Claim(s) <u>5,21,46,48-52</u> is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	,						
Attachmer	nt(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) 🛛 Infor	-: - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/18/03</u> . 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 09/554,464

Art Unit: 3636

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 6-15,17-20,22-45, and 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claims 6 and 13, the phrase "a shoulder-neck holder according to claim 2" is unclear and confusing as the applicant has not disclosed a shoulder-neck holder in claim 2 but merely a shoulder holder. Applicant must clarify.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 3,4,16, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chika. Chika shows the use of a restraint system equipped with a one piece shoulder and neck holder (Ka, Pa) comprising a seat belt (B.L), consisting of several belt portions, at least one latch plate, a buckle assembly, a belt pretensioner and belt fittings (see Figs. 1 and 2), having a pair of shoulder caps (see Figs. 3,8 and 20), with open apertures (15,16) to receive the belt portions; a pair of latch plates (R,H), connected to the shoulder caps (10.2, 10.2b, 10.20, with open apertures, in which the belt portions are loosely secured by quick-release pins (L), when the

shoulder holder and the seat belt are fitted together, and released by withdrawal thereof for removal, when the shoulder holder is withdrawn; and at least one pair of buckle assemblies (A), attached in a seat backrest (5); wherein a passenger is restrained by the seat belt and his shoulders are restrained by the shoulder caps upon plug-in connection of the latch plates with the buckle assemblies and at least one shoulder belt portion of the seat belt is extended over the open aperture of the corresponding shoulder cap and loosely secured in the open aperture of the latch plate (see Figs. 17 and 37).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 1-2 are allowed over the prior art made of record.
- 6. Claims 6-15,17-20,22-45,47 and 52 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. Claims 5,21,46,48-51 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Reference Nos. 5,758,582, 3,165,357 and JP 1-94053 show features of the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 3636

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anthony D. Barfield whose telephone number is 571-272-6852. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-4000.

Anthony D Barnell Primary Examiner

adb September 16, 2006